

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/669,471

**Applicant(s)**

YOKOUCHI, KOUJI

**Examiner**

FRED I. EHICHIOYA

**Art Unit**

2156

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FRED I. EHICHIOYA

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Chad J. Billings (Reg. No. 48,917)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 26 January 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, 13, 19, 20 and 23

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative that the claims would be allowable if the limitations of claims 19 and 23 are incorporated into claim 1, limitations of claims 20 and 23 incorporated into claims 7 and 13 respectively. Mr. Billings declined and would rather prefer a rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Fred I. Ehichioya/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2156